EXHIBIT B

| | Page 1 |
|----------|--|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE |
| 2 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE |
| 3 | |
| 4 | ECOLAB INC., and NALCO : Case No. COMPANY, LLC d/b/a NALCO : 1:22-cv-00050- |
| 5 | WATER, an Ecolab Company : TRM-SKL and/or NALCO WATER, : |
| 6 | : Plaintiffs : |
| 7 | vs. : |
| 8 | : ANTHONY RIDLEY, and : |
| 9 | CHEMTREAT, INC., : |
| 10 | Defendants. : |
| 11 | |
| 12 | WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 2023 |
| 13 | |
| 14 15 | Video Recorded and Remote Zoom Deposition of LAURENCE LIEB, taken pursuant to Notice, at |
| 16 | the law offices of Fisher & Phillips LLP, 100 |
| 17 | North 18th Street, Two Logan Square, 12th |
| 18 | Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, commencing |
| 19 | at approximately 10:08 a.m., on the above |
| 20 | date, before Rose A. Tamburri, RPR, CM, CCR, |
| 21 | CRR, USCRA Speed and Accuracy Champion and |
| 22 | Notary Public. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS |
| ٠ - | Mid-Atlantic Region |
| 25 | 1801 Market Street - Suite 1800 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 |

- Q. Are there any cases not listed in your CV where you've provided some form of sworn written testimony, such as an affidavit or declaration?
 - A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Has any judge ever determined that you were not qualified to testify as an expert?
 - A. No.
- Q. Has any judge ever determined that one of your opinions was unreliable?
 - A. No.
- Q. Has any judge ever precluded you from testifying, in whole or in part, as to any of your opinions?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What is your current position?
- A. I am the CEO, President and Owner of Tyger Forensics, that's Tyger with a Y.
- Q. And how did you choose the name Tyger Forensics?
- A. My father was an English professor, biblical scholar, and so I am a William Blake fan.
 - Q. "Tyger Tyger, burning bright"?

- A. Yes. For a cultured person, yes.
- Q. All right.

3 How many people work for Tyger

4 Forensics?

1

2.

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

16

17

18

- A. I have one full-time employee and then one 1099 employee.
- Q. Does Tyger Forensics own any other businesses?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you personally own any other businesses?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you an employee of any other businesses?
 - A. I am not.
 - Q. Are you a consultant for any other businesses?
 - A. I am not.
- 19 Q. So what does Tyger Forensics do?
- A. Tyger Forensics provides computer
 forensic services, which involves forensic
 preservation and collection of electronic
 evidence, generation of forensic databases to
 enable analysis of electronic evidence,
- forensic analysis of evidence, and then

reporting declarations, testimony, if required.

- Q. So you said one of the things that Tyger Forensics does is the forensic preservation and collection of electronic evidence; is that correct?
 - A. That is.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q. Can you give me an overview of what that process involves?

MR. WINSMAN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: Certainly. We use industry standard tools to create forensic image preservations of Smartphones, laptop, desktop computers, server data, cloud-based evidence, social media evidence.

BY MS. LUND:

- Q. You referenced industry standard tools. What makes them industry standard?
- A. In my opinion, industry standard tools are tools that are used in -- widely in criminal cases and have -- evidence produced from those tools have been accepted in thousands of criminal cases.
- Q. Other than the tools, are there other industry standards that, in your view, apply

A. Well, over -- over the years, I've taken many forensic training courses. I typically budget \$5,000 a year for myself and my employees for training.

So I usually take one to two or more training classes each year, and those encompass best practices as well.

- Q. Is there any particular source or reference that you look to in particular for guiding your understanding of industry best practices?
- A. I don't -- can you define "source"?

 I don't know what you mean by source.
- Q. For example, lawyers, we would look to case books; that would be some of our sources. Or we might look to --
 - A. Oh.

2.

2.2

Q. -- you know, the American Law Journal or something like that.

Is there something similar that you would look to as sort of a -- a guide or a helpful reference for the industry that you would look to if you wanted to understand best practices?

A. In terms of if -- I don't really

1 Q. Okay.

So if we can look at the DLP report.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And I believe that's already there.

 I'm just trying to find the exhibit it was

 previously marked as so I can read it into the

 record.
- 9 A. That's going to be a mass -- is it a
 10 PDF or do you have it as an Excel file?
 11 MR. HOMESLEY: I can pull it up as

12 a CSV.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

MR. HOMESLEY: So it was

previously entered as Exhibit 27.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if

there is Excel on this machine because it

18 really -- that's -- that's what -- Excel is

what opens up CSV files for them to be

20 readable. I'll wait to -- maybe -- maybe

21 there's Excel on -- I'll see if there's Excel

on this machine. Maybe there is. I doubt it.

No. I see an Excel -- oh, there is Excel on

24 here. Okay.

MR. HOMESLEY: All right. It

Page 169 should be up there as Exhibit 27. 1 2. THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 MR. HOMESLEY: You'll have to download it. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. There we go. Yeah, I'm going to have to --6 7 there's -- I'm going to have to download this because there's no way to --8 9 MS. LUND: Yes. 10 THE WITNESS: There's no way to -it has to be done with Excel. 11 12 (Brief pause.) 13 THE WITNESS: It's a large file. 14 Okay. Hopefully this version of Excel is 15 registered. 16 Okay. 17 MS. LUND: Okay. 18 BY MS. LUND: 19 So if you could go ahead --20 Create and edit files. Okay. I'll 21 use -- do you want me -- sorry. 2.2 0. No, that's okay. 23 If you could go ahead and share 24 your screen so that we can all be seeing what 25 you're looking at.

Page 170 Well, I -- I am sharing. You're not 1 2 -- you're not seeing this? MR. HOMESLEY: Yep. 3 THE WITNESS: It's just -- the ver 4 5 -- this doesn't have Excel on it. 6 MS. LUND: Okay. Yep. Great. 7 BY MS. LUND: And then if you could go to the 8 9 Operation Type column. 10 Okay. Is it -- is it okay if I do 11 what I norm -- what I described in my 12 analysis? 13 Yes, go ahead. Ο. 14 Because it's going to make this --Α. 15 Q. Yes. 16 -- infinitely easier for everyone Α. 17 involved. So -- so I go up to view -- if it 18 19 allows -- it's not letting me do it because 20 I -- crap. 21 So normally I go to freeze pane 22 and freeze top row, because there's not a -this is not a -- a registered version of --23 24 let me see if I can do it. It says "Use free at office.com. Let's see if it allows me to 25

Page 171 do it. 1 MS. LUND: You know what, let's go 3 ahead and go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 4 5 2:09. Going off the video record. 6 (Brief pause.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 2:13. Back on the video record. 8 BY MS. LUND: 10 All right. 0. 11 Mr. Lieb, before we took a break 12 to work out our technical issues, you were 13 telling us the -- what you did with the 14 Digital Guardian report to make it workable 15 and you were going to walk us through that 16 report which is now up on the screen. 17 Can you go ahead, please. 18 Absolutely. Α. 19 So this is -- I'm replicating what 20 my forensic analysis steps were. 21 So I -- first I highlighted the --2.2 the top row, which is column headers. I go to 23 view, freeze pane, freeze top row. So that 24 allows me to then scroll with the top -- the 25 top row just remaining.

add to that in terms of your forensic analysis?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay.

2.2

And then you say you came to the forensic observations and opinions set forth in this report; correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And your opinion, as set forth in paragraph 14, is that the Digital Guardian report captured and recorded an extensive amount of files being exfiltrated by Ridley using his former EcoLab laptop, and that's your opinion?
 - A. It is.
 - Q. Okay.

And what do you mean when you say "exfiltrated"?

A. It's a -- a forensic term meaning taken up -- copied to an external USB drive that a former employee will take with them to use in their own company that they establish, or a competitor, sent to -- documents that are sent -- any document activity copying, moving to -- from a company-owned device or account

A. Well, I believe "misappropriated" may be a legal term of art, so I -- I believe -- it's my opinion that he took those files to use them to compete against his former employer.

Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Let's look at your opinion in your report at paragraph 14.

A. Okay.

Q. And you'll see the second sentence of paragraph 14, you say, "From a forensic analysis standpoint, the Digital Guardian Report provides more than sufficient amount of information for me to arrive at my conclusion that Ridley misappropriated thousands of Ecolab files."

Is that still your opinion?

- A. It is.
- Q. Okay.

Even though misappropriated, you just testified, is actually a legal term?

- A. It could be a legal term. In my -- in plain English, it's theft.
 - 0. Okay.

And so it's your opinion, based on

thousands of Nalco-related files.

- Q. So if I can have you answer my question, which is what objective standard do you use to determine whether the transfer of files from one company-issued device to another company-issued device is exfiltration or theft and when it's not?
- A. I don't know what you mean by "objective standard."
 - Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

Well, as we discussed this morning, you have testified that everything you do is, quote, 100 percent based upon science and can 100 percent be replicated by a qualified peer.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm asking you what objective standard can a qualified peer apply to reach the same conclusion you did that this was theft as opposed to ordinary course of business?
- A. Well, it would be the timing that the activity occurred, the business circumstances under which it occurred, a knowledge of an employee having already accepted or looking

for another work -- another job, the volume and -- the volume and amount and frequency by which the data was stolen, and then subsequent -- you know, Jim -- Jim Vaughn's report confirmed my findings.

So I -- I'm vindicated.

Q. Okay.

2.2

What -- what, in particular, do you believe that Mr. Vaughn's report confirmed with regard to your findings?

- A. The CrowdStrike report showed Mr.
 Ridley interacting with some of the
 exfiltrated files using his ChemTreat laptop.
 - O. Were --
- A. So he took them to use while working at ChemTreat.
 - Q. So that's a conclusion you've drawn.
- A. No, that's what the CrowdStrike report shows.
- Q. The CrowdStrike report shows the LaCie drive being plugged into ChemTreat's laptop?
- A. It shows Ridley interacting with Nalco Water files while using his now wiped ChemTreat laptop.

That is my expert opinion.

Q. Right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And my question to you is, you are offering an opinion about Mr. Ridley's intent that he did something specifically for a purpose to utilize them while employed at ChemTreat.

That is your opinion; correct?

- A. Which he -- which he -- which he did.
- 10 Q. I understand that's your opinion, Mr.
 11 Lieb.

I'm asking you, what is --

- A. No, that's not opinion. That's what shows in the CrowdStrike report and what I've been informed that he admitted to on -- doing on less four -- less than four no -- no less than four occasions in his deposition yesterday.
- Q. Mr. Lieb, it's important that we not talk over each other, so please do not interrupt me when I'm asking you a question and then you can answer my question.

Okay?

- A. Okay.
- Q. Great. All right.